G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 356

1917.

(Mus)

Serial Nos.

1–4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Dec 18

SUBJECT.

Conducting important processions in the more important temples in the State

---

D.C. of Mysore D.C's of other Dts
D.G. of Police, Mus Supt.
1633-42 Dy 27.12.18
Issuing instructions re —

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Chus

G. R. S. III—1] 1917-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscge

NOTES.

3 S 6-17

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Stoppage of the car procession Sree Chamarajendra Swami at Chamarajnagar which should have taken place on the night of the 4th May 1917.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

P. 4 D

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

Mus 356-17

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subject to 1st member for information.

1. The Vice Admiral tells me that there is no Chaplain Registered for the performance on the subsequent day at Swansea or at Swansea which may have been stopped for some reason or another.

The incident is a very regrettable one and the cause is so trivial, that the whole thing might have been

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D 84—GPB—60,000—20 7 16

Mus 356-17

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Govt. through with same
hand.

3. A letter received
from the Municipal
Agents on the same
subject is also submitted
for perusal. He claims the
balance upon the Price in-
spector which does not appear
the quite fair as the Price
Supt. himself was on the
spot. The only possible in-
ference appears to be that
the Price were afraid
of a row and in asking
the Govt a monopoly to

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 81—GPB—60,000—20 7 16

May 356-17

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

withdraw, they made the
process in which as
there were responsible for
that. days' attendance. The
Clerk then read an appeal which
have been lodged. It is not possible
to state without. perhaps
material how far the
discretion was rightly
exercised by the Chairman
prisoners could not
have more advantageously
been brought. It was upon
the objections rather than upon
the merits of the process.
The Sheriff was
to be requested to send a
brief report. as regards
the disciplinary action taken

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 81—GPB—60,000—20 7 16

May 356-17

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Cyprus.

Lea
18.5.17

3189
18.5.17

5 (Mr. Meade)

2381
26.12.17

1. I have waited for the Final Report promised by the Commissioners but as they have not appeared I have sent to ask if it is ready. It has been promised for some time. I have not seen it. I know that the Commissioners have been working hard and have had a special Secretary — the only one — to assist them. There is a special reason for the delay which is known to Lambert who is dealing with the matter

7. I think it would be convenient that — in place of the Commissioners — there should be a Magistrate, or Magistrates, to deal with the matters in question, with Lambert to act as Chairman. Such arrangements in practice

Lea
25/12/17

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 81—GPB—60,000—20 7 16

May 35-17

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

8. Draft a letter to the Govt. enclosing the members' observations and in reply to the Govt. regarding the amildars stay at Hd Qrs as in the case of Ranjangud temple

26.12.17

26/12/17

9. Draft Subd accordingly -

10. If approved, copies may be sent to the other Dys: Comrs for information and guidance.

27-12-17

27.12.17

Please put up reference regarding Ranjangud temple -

27/12/17.

Draft Subd for appl & o. other orders.

5/1/18.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

~~For favour of approval~~

W D 81—GPB—60,000—20 7 15

MD 1233—GPB—60 CCC—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mysg File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.	
	13. The draft now submitted for approval differs slightly from the order of the 1st member as a general direction applicable to all major Mysore institutions may be difficult to work in practice. The draft may be approved
	2445/7/18 1st member) WM 8.1.18 Hd 5.1.18
	KD. 5/1/18
	Hd
1	No 1587 dt 17.1.18, 2 the Dr of Mysore, awaits the report promised by the Dy in his do communication on which, & requests him to issue strict instructions to the Asst to see that on future occasions he will make it a point to step at Hdqrs & not to give rise to similar complaints in
2	From the Dy: Comr, Mysore Dt., Letter dt No. 784 dt 29-7-17 - & States that necessary instructions have already been issued to the Amildar through the Sub. Dy officer and that

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.

the 7 persons who were proceeded against by the Police, were discharged, =

14. Draft recording the Dy: Comrs letter is subd for approval or other orders.

8D 5/8/18 BL

15. Draft may be app

Secy.)

KS. 7/8/18.

(1st member)

lee 7-8-18.

708 9-8

16 If a Member wishes, can Resolution now taken among Members of Com be issued without waiting for Council -

17. I think that when action is taken regarding the more costly jewels in the Temple we should also take steps to have included in the Inventory to be taken at the Processions & Festivals during the night. I think an order should be passed to that effect as difficulties have arisen in practice, the Resolution should immediately be brought to the notice of the D-I-Gs & the processions should be carefully watched by the Inspectors. Heavy charges should be made against those who have failed in their duties. The Resolution should be made applicable to the more important temples like those of Vaiyavoor & Sri. Parthasarathy & Malleswara. The Resolution was most favourably received by the Deputy Commissioners

9/8/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.

18. Draft. Also perl. up Q.o relating to utsavams of Ranjangud temple

12.8.18

17/8/18.

ST

19. Draft Q.O. subd. with Q. G. No. 1527-41/ Mug. 7. 19-14-14 & 11-1-15 relating to utsavams of the Ranjangud temple.

17/8/18.

14-8-18

Seen.

My order wanted by me is me passed in connection with the Chappadi accident.

An order of the kind has already been passed in connection with the Ranjangud temple - vide paras 7 & 8 of the printed order perl. up. The utsavams of the Chamarajeswara temple are neither so elaborate nor so well attended but an order of the kind seems fix the responsibilities of officers. If approved I shall call for a list of the min. for Land. festivals from the D.C. and his opinion as to passing a rule that the amildar at least should be present

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mis File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.

in the stations on their routes to conduct the observances. The Committee other temples such investigations. [illegible]
(4 the members)
20.5.18

223-91
20.5.18.

2. The G.O of 11.1.1915 re Meppadi Havam Accident at Nanjangud is [illegible] general in its terms and as such the issue of an order now on the lines indicated by the first member appears to me the advisable The occurrence now under reference was a regrettable one & could have been avoided if the Dy Chr who was in charge in the absence of the Comm[issione]r had kept his attendant himself been present on the spot & Last the procession conducted under his personal supervision. The Comm[issione]r in his unavoidable absence. The Dy Chr. shd be present on all such occasions - viz the processions connected with Major Meyrick's visits and see that the occasion passes off without a hitch of any kind. When any regrettable incident happens the moral thing is to indulge in recriminations which serve no useful purpose at all. Unless & until responsible

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

May File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.

is fixed. Every one concerned with his ship cant. & it will be difficult to book the party responsible for the mistake. In the light of this new a C. O in general terms is very necessary. A revised Dospt on the lines above will be put up now. Clean Dospts shd be put up for approval henceforward in accordance with Secy orders. + additional blank pages shd also be provided for the Members Numb

Pell
20.8.18

Subject to approval a slight departure has been made by the members' instructions as regards the leading mist^{rs} Lights.

22. Dospt. Subd for approval
Lea
(by the members)
25.8.18
27

351-221
29.8.18.

23. Revised Dospt approved
Pell
31.8.18

1331
39.18

24. Subd to Secretariat for issue
Lea

25. The draft seems suitable. May be circulated and submitted to His Highness for approval.
Secy

20.9.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8 18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MW2 File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.

26. Secd by 1st member
Lea
21.11.18

2071/22.11

27. Approved
Lea
22/11/18

259
25.11.18.

28. Secd by 2nd member
Lea
23.11.

26.11

Put up D.F.N. Lee. in
Lea
Come home in Mahsud 26.11.18
may be typed after my
approval.

HS.
26/11/18.

Draft D.F.N. is submd

30.11.18

2181-166
9-12-18.

F/S approved.

This has been further discussed in Committee.

10.12.18

Please note the
members' remarks
Lea
10.12.18

Note—Contn. sheet.

Kka
HS. 11/12/18.

WD A 1789—GPB—50,000—20-3-18—S.

JD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mw2 File No. 356 of 1917

Serial No.	
3217 13.12.17	Subd to Secret Leg 13.12.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the ~~Extra~~ Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

Presence of Amildars on occasions of importance, e.g., processions, concerning leading Muzrai institutions.

———

The question of the advisability of a responsible local officer like the Amildar being, as a rule, present on occasions of importance, such as processions, of leading Muzrai institutions arose for consideration during the disposal of the present record, which concerns the
A stoppage of the car festival of Sri Chamarajeswaraswami on the due date viz., 4th May 1917.

2. The facts of that case briefly stated are these:-

> There was objection on the part of one Gurumurthiachar to the carrying, by another private individual, viz., Venkatasubbasetty, of certain insignia while proceeding to the temple on the occasion. The Amildar was away from Chamarajanagar on other duty. The Police Inspector and the Taluk Sheristedar, who were on the spot, were not able to see the procession through on the night of the due day, viz., 4th May 1917, owing to no satisfactory solution of the difficulty having been come to in time. In consequence, the deity had then to be taken back to the temple. The procession was conducted on the 7th idem, however.

3. In dealing with the report of the Deputy Commissioner on the
B subject, he was instructed to issue strict orders to the Amildar of Chamarajanagar Taluk to remain at Head Quarters whenever special festivals or important processions in the temple of Sri Chamarajeswaraswami took place, so that incidents, like the one under notice, might not recur. In this connection, it was also considered expedient by the First Member of Council, who was then in charge of the Muzrai Department, to issue a general order of the kind, applicable to all the more important temples in the State.

4. I share this opinion and the draft order now submitted for approval is the outcome of it. It requires the presence of the Amildar, or in his unavoidable absence, of the Taluk Sheristedar, on all occasions of important processions etc., connected with major institutions and,

and, moreover, provides in the case of the important institutions at Nanjangud, Chamarajanagar, Melkote and Seringapatam for the Revenue Sub-Division Officer arranging to be present himself on such occasions, in the absence of the Amildar. The draft has been approved by the Members of Council and the Dewan and is now submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness.

Pages 11, 12 of file

P Raghavendra Rao
10.12.18

10th December 1918. Member of Council.

16

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* the presence of Amildars on occasions of importance such as processions, concerning leading Muzrai institutions *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Dewan.

14. 12. 18

17

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Draft order issuing instructions to Amildars in the matter of their presence on important occasions concerning leading Muzrai institutions.

673

Order.

~~KRW~~

17/12/18

Submitted.

Scan - Seen

18/12/18.

Rec'd 20.12.18.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mur File No. 356 of 1917.

Serial No.	
	This order is an important one & may, if approved, be Printed. RG 20/12/18
4.	No 1633-42 Dy 27.12.18 To D.C. of Mysore, D.C. & Dofotter Dfts. Hr. of Police Mysr Supdt in Mysore Issues instructions to the Pk Guildars in the matter of conduct of important processions in more important temples No 1634 Dy 27.12.18. To Huzur Secy to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore for infm

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mys 356-17-1 19

Ref ence. No. 784 of this date.

No. 2569 / 08/5/17

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Mysore District.

Mysore, dated the 15th May 1917.

Dear Mr. Aravamudu Iyengar,

The Car Festival of Sri Chamarajaswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar which should have taken place during the night of 4th May 1917 was unfortunately not held that night. I received information about this at the Biligiri Rangan Hills on the 6th May 1917 through a letter from the Superintendent of Police dated the 5th idem, a copy of which is herein enclosed. The Amildar at Chamarajnagar Taluk was at once ordered to hold an enquiry into the matter and I myself went to the place on the morning of the 7th instant with the Sub Division Officer. I left the Sub Division Officer at Chamarajnagar with directions to see the procession conducted the same night and also to hold an enquiry into the circumstances which necessitated the postponing of the function. A copy of his letter to me (D.O.No.75 of the 13th instant) received to-day is herein enclosed. It will be seen that there was apparently no intention on the part of anybody to stop the procession, that one Gurumurthia Char objected to one Venkatasubba Setti using certain insignia while going from his house to the temple, that the Police Inspector seems to have apprehended a disturbance and that there would have been no trouble if the Taluk Sheristedar(who was in charge of the function owing to the absence of the Amildar) had been prompt and business like. No trouble of any sort was apprehended the more especially as the Superintendent of Police was at Chamarajnagar. The procession was conducted with all the usual ceremony on the 7th instant.

I am writing this letter as it is probable that exaggerated accounts of the matter may have been sent up by interested parties to Government.

I am returning the records to the Sub Division Officer and shall ~~take~~ in due course take suitable steps about all persons who may have been at fault.

Yours sincerely,

D.O. letter No. 75 ~~dated~~ Nanjangud, 13th May 1917, from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

On 6-5-1917 you were kind enough to show me at the Biligere Ranganhills the report made to you by the Superintendent of Police regarding Sri Chamarajeswara Swami's procession having been stopped on the 4th instant on account of some petition having been presented to him by Gurumurthachari, Devaragudda Nada Naika and other Parivars stating that Dasabanagigar Venkata Subba Setti &c were going to use insignias ಆನೆ ಕಿಲ್ಲಿ, ಪಲ್ಲಿ, ಕೊಂಬು, ಕಹಳೆ along with that night's procession of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami.

The Superinterdent reported that he ordered the Inspector to intimate the objection of the petitioners to the other party and asked their signatures to be obtained, that their signatures were obtained, wher the Superinterdent himself went to the spot and -- questioned the other party, and they are said to have told him that they would not do anything against mamool and that they would even withdraw themselves if required by him. The Superintendent left the matter to be settled by the parties themselves and by the Revenue authorities. He says that the Dharmadarsies and the Sheristedar were discussing the matter till 12 midnight when Dharmadarsi Venkata samaiya said they would abide by the Sheristedar's decision on account of the absence of the Amildar then, that Venkatasubba setty and his party withdrew their insignias, lights, torches &c, leaving the deity amidst temple lights, remarking as he withdrew that all the trouble was due to Mr. Krishna Rao, Police Inspector. The Superintendent reports that the Inspector was within his bounds and that the remarks may have been made, as one of the petitioners Gurumurtha char's sons is a constable in the Chamarajanagar Police force. The Superintendent adds that as the Treasury Barr Sepoys withdrew, he arranged for Police escort and that, on asking Agamick as to why the procession was still delayed Agamik told him that he feared breach of peace and as the God had all the Jewellery on, he was

was not for carrying the procession further and that the deity was therefore taken back to the temple at 1 A.M.

You asked the Amildar who was with us to hold an enquiry and report within three days.

On 7th morning I came to Chamarajanagar with you and I stayed away there to hold the enquiry myself. First I held the enquiry as to why the procession should not be carried on that day only as the Agnik said that unless and until it was done no other processions and Utsavams could be done and that the car festival also -- which ought to have been observed on ಚಿತ್ತಾನಕ್ಷತ್ರ (Chitta Nakshatra) day had also been stopped on account of this. I sent for Venkata subba setty, Gurumurtachar, Devaragudda Mada Naika, &c, and asked them why the procession should not be carried on that night. They had no objection to it. The cost of the Utsavam was being borne by Venkatasubba Setty and his party and he also consented to do it that day. A meeting of the Dharmadarsees was called and they also were of opinion that the Utsavam might be permitted to be done by him, with his insignias also as he was now and then bringing them.

The procession was taken out in my presence not only with all the temple insignias, but also with those brought by Venkatasubba setty and his party, viz, ಕೊಡೆ, ಕೊಡೆಕೋಲು, ಕೊಂಬು, ಕಹಳೆ ಮೊದಲಾದ as these add to the grandeur of the procession and as they are -- allowed even in the Nanjangud temple at the time of the Jatra, &c, and as the temple is a high class Muzrai institution and anybody's objection to its using any insignia was invalid and untenable. Gurumurtachari, Devaragudda Mada Naika &c, petitioners, also said they had no objection to their use to the temple, but that they objected to Venkatasubba Setty collecting them at his house and bringing them in the street with music from his house to the temple before the procession started. The petitioners asked for being permitted to do one of the Utsavams (about the Deepavali feast) at -- their cost, and to take out their umbrellas, Sattiges with Kombu and

and Tambatey in the said procession. I told them they might do so, as any one else who would like to do it would be permitted. I have enclosed these records herein.

Now as to why the procession was stopped on the 4th instant, I examined the Dharmadarsees, six of whom were present with the procession that day, the Agnik, the Temple Peshkar and Venkatasubba Setty who is the ದೇಸಡ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (Desadasetty,) on behalf of his party and those who gave the petition to the Superintendent, viz, Gurumurtachari, Santachari and Devaragudda Muddanaika. A perusal of the statements of Dharmadarsees, Agnik, Peishkar and Venkatasubba Setti will show that the procession was taken out at 7 P M. on the 4th instant and the God was being decorated in front of the temple in the flower Palanquin when it was about to proceed further, the Police Inspector went and told them that Gurumurtachari and the Parivar Yejaman had given petitions to the Superintendent and that, if the procession went further, there was every likelihood of a breach of peace. They all say that the Parivars and Panchanas or their Yejamans were not there at all to protest against the procession. The Parivar Yejaman and the Panchana Yejaman that is, the petitioners, also say that they never objected to the procession, but that they complained to the Police Inspector at 7 P.M. that Venkatasubba Setty was about to take his insignias with Kombu and Tabate (Music) in the street from his house to the temple and that he asked them to go to the Superintendent who asked them to put it in writing and to give it in the form of ~~application~~ petition, and that they did so, but never went even to the procession at all, nor protested against it. However, as the Police Inspector said, there would be a breach of peace, the Taluk and Temple authorities seem to have been considering over it from 7 P.M. to 12 P.M, and as the Police Inspector suggested finally that Venkatasubba Setty should withdraw his insignias; they seem to have asked him to do so and he complied with their request. Consequently the deity was left without lights except two torches of the temple. The Peishkar being an acting man, as the permanent incumbent was on leave, he was not able to secure the required oil --

wicks etc, from the temple at that late hour and the diety had to be taken back.

All these would have been prevented if either the Superintendent or the Police Inspector or the Sheristedar had ordered Venkata subba Setty to take back the insignias which were alleged to have been objected to and to take out the procession then only without pondering over the question and every thing would have ended well.

The Police Inspector's explanation or statement will show what fears he had regarding the party feeling.

Under these circumstances, I think we may, if at all, prosecute only the petitioners, Gurumurtachari, Santachari, Devaragudda Mada Naika etc, who set this up at an inconvenient hour and were the cause for the delay and misapprehensions. They say that they never wanted the procession to be stopped, but only objected to Venkatasubba Setty taking out the insignias to the temple with music, whereas it is not so mentioned in the petition as they have objected to the said man taking out his umbrella in procession along with the diety. The evidence shows that Venkatasubba Setty never went with music etc. I have asked the Inspector to hold the required enquiry and to prosecute them for good behaviour in three days as directed by you.

As regards the withdrawal of the Treasury Sepoys, I should say that the evidence shows that those on off duty had come to the procession on their own account to help those sepoys of the temple, if necessary and they are said to have waited for a long time and as there was no prospect of the procession moving further they went away, leaving the Temple Sepoys there.

I have not yet issued formal orders on the petitions presented to me. I therefore request you to return these records to me with your instructions as to what is to be done in the matter.

" C O P Y "

M Venkatasubbayengar

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

D.O. letter dated 5th May 1917 from the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore District, (camp-Chamarajanagar) to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I beg to state that at 9.55 P.M. on 4-5-1917 Gurumurthachar, Devaraguddana Madanaika and other parivars presented a petition (copy enclosed) stating that Dasabanajigar, Venkata Subba setty, Parvathammana Muddasetty and other caste people were going to use against Mamool insignias such as ಕತ್ತಿ, ಕೈ, ಬೆತ್ತ, ಕೈ ಆಯು, ಕವಚ್ ಅಲ್ಲಿ along with that night's procession of Chamarajeswara Swamy --- arranged by the Shettys themselves.

As there was hardly any time to make enquiries, the following order was issued to the Inspector (copy on reverse of petition copy) namely that the objection of the petitioners may be intimated to the other party and their signatures obtained and that any galata may be intimated to me.

I went by the main street to the temple at 10-20 P.M.. By that time the Inspector had taken the signatures of Venkatasubba setty and Parvathammana Muddasetty who, ~~one~~ on being questioned, assured me that nothing would be done against mamool and if I wanted them to withdraw themselves from the procession, they would do so . I told them that their claim to use the above insignia was a matter for them and the Pariwars to settle between themselves, that I was present there to see there was no breach of the ~~Police~~ peace and the Police had nothing to do with other matters which concerned the Revenue and temple authorities. The procession was still in front of the temple. The temple Dharmadarsis and Pujaris along with the Sheristedar were seriously discussing till 12 midnight about the advis-ability of carrying on the procession till Mr. Venkataswamy Iyer, one of the Dharmadarsis, remarked that they would abide by any orders the Sheristedar, in the absence of the Amildar, might pass as ~~to~~ the local Muzrai Officer. Thereafter Venkata-Subba Setty and his party withdrew with the paraphernalia, lights and torches, leaving the diety amidst temple lights,

D.O. letter dated 8th May 1917 from the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore District, (Comp. Cham arajanagar) to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I beg to state that at 9.55 P.M. on 4-5-1917 Gurumurthaiah Dasappagaddana Madanalla and other private persons presented a petition (copy enclosed) stating that Doddamallige Venkata Subba Setty, Parvatamma Muddasetty and other caste people were going to use against Ramool instigated with an armed by the Shettys themselves.

Ag there was hardly any time to make enquiries the following order was issued to the Inspector (copy on reverse of petition copy) namely that the objection of the petitioners may be intimated to the other party and their signatures obtained and that any relate may be intimated to me.

I went by the main street to the temple at 10-20 P.M.. By that time the Inspector had taken the signatures of Venkataraiah setty and Parvatamma Muddasetty who, one being questioned, assured me that nothing would be done against Ramool and if I wanted them to withdraw themselves from the procession, they would do so . I told them that their claim to use the above instrin was a matter for them and the Partners to settle no breach of the Police and the Police had nothing to do with between themselves that I was present there to see there was other matters which concerned the Revenue and temple authorities. The procession was still in front of the temple. The temple Dharmadarsis and Pujaris along with the Shrishtadar were sorrowly discussing till 12 midnight about the advisability of carrying on the procession till 1P. Venkataramy Iyer one of the Dharmadarsis remarked that they would abide by any orders the Shrishtadar in the absence of the Amildar, might pass as the local Magral Officer. Therefor Venkata- Subba Setty and his party withdrew with the pars pharnalia, lights and torches leaving the dicty amidst temple lights,

lights, remarking, as they withdrew, that all the trouble was due to Mr. B. Krishna Rao, acting Inspector of Police. This remark, I may be allowed to submit, was undeserved for I know personally the Inspector was severely warning the Pariwar petitioners to be careful in their speech and action, when they came to present the said petition to me at the Travellers' Bungalow and he had nothing to do with the conduct of the Shettys in withdrawing themselves from the procession. Perhaps this sweeping remark might have been made as one of Gurumuthia Chary's Sons is a police constable.

Meantime, the Treasury Barr Sepoys withdrew and I arranged the police round the diety and asked the Agamikar why the procession was being delayed when nothing untoward was apprehended by me. He told me that a breach of the peace was nevertheless feared and since the diety had costly jewels on, he was not for carrying on the procession. The diety was therefore taken into the temple at 1 A.M. after which I returned to the Travellers' bungalow.

I beg to submit that, apart from the procession not being carried out, there was not a single untoward incident.

'Copy'

M Venkatesa Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

rights, remarking as they withdrew that all the trouble was due to Mr. B. Kriebus Roe, acting Inspector of Police. This remark, I may be allowed to submit was undeserved for I know personally the Inspector was scarcely warning the Partner positions to be carried in their speech and action, when they came to request the said petition to me at the Traveller's Bungalow and be had nothing to do with the request of the Shoftya in withdrawing themselves from the procession. Portuguese Gurumurthi Chetty's Sons in a police constable.

Meanwhile the Treasury Dare Sahoya withdrew and I arranged the police found the city and asked the Assistant why the procession was being delayed when nothing untoward was apprehended by me. He told me that a breach of the peace was nevertheless feared and since the city had costly jewels on, he was not for carrying on the procession. The city was therefore taken into the temple at 1 A.M. after which I returned to the Traveller's Bungalow.

I beg to submit that apart from the procession not being carried out there was not a single untoward incident.

'Copy'

Deputy Commissioner,
Madura District.

12.5.'17

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 101 ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಆಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10.04.2026

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗೆ ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರ ಧರಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಸಚಿವಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರ ಧರಿಸಿ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪುರುಷ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗೆ ಬಿಳಿ ಶರ್ಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಪ್ಪು ಪ್ಯಾಂಟ್, ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗೆ ಬಿಳಿ ಸೀರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಪ್ಪು ಬ್ಲೌಸ್ ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಧರಿಸುವುದು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರ ಧರಿಸದೆ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲ ಬಾರಿ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಪುನರಾವರ್ತನೆಯಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ದಿನದ ವೇತನ ಕಡಿತಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಉಪ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

6

ಸಂಪಿಗೆಹಳ್ಳಿ ಬಡಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಸೈಟ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 50 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಯನ್ನು
ಸಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಮಾನ
ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಬಂಡವಾಳ ಹೂಡಿದವರಿಗೆ ಲಾಭಾಂಶ
ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾ ಸಮಿತಿಯಿಂದ ಮುಂದಿನ
ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯವರೆಗೂ ಮುಂದೂಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು
ಸೈಕಲ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗಿ
ಧರಣಿ ಸತ್ಯಾಗ್ರಹ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು
ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಟು ಸೀದಾ ಮನೆಗೆ
ಬಂದು ಸ್ನಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಊಟ ಮಾಡಿ
ಮಲಗಿದ್ದು ಸಂಜೆ ಎದ್ದು ಕಾಫಿ ಕುಡಿದು
ಮತ್ತೆ ಹೊರಟು ಹೋದವನು ಮರಳಿ
ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಮಾತ್ರ ಮರುದಿನ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಯಶಸ್ವಿಗೊಳಿಸಿ
ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೂ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೂ
ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದ

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೂ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಮೂಲ್ಯವಾದ
ಸಮಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು
ಯಶಸ್ವಿಗೊಳಿಸಿದ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ತಮ್ಮ
ಅಮೂಲ್ಯವಾದ ಸಹಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತ
ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಹಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು
ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರಿಗೂ ಹಾಗೂ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ
ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದವರಿಗೂ ನಾನು
ಕೃತಜ್ಞತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಾ
ನನ್ನ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ
ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು

2 15/1

G. R. S.-VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

Date of issue 17. 1. 18

Signed by Jr M. Lakshmi Rao

Section Mysore

File No. 38-6-17

Serial No. 2

No. 1587

To

The Dy. Comr., Mysore Distt.

Sir,

With reference to your D. O. letter no. 784 & 15-5-17 reporting the circumstances under which ~~the car festival~~ proceeding of Sri Chamarajes varaswami temple at Chamrajnagar did not take place on the night of the 4th May 1917, I am directed to ~~direct~~ point out that the final Report promised by you in the case has not been received yet; ~~that the~~

over.

and to observe that the Amildar should not have gone away from the Head quarters, when a special procession of the deity with so much of jewellery was taking place. I have to request that you will be so good as to issue strict instructions to the Amildar for his guidance that he must make it a point to remain at H.Q. quarters whenever special festivals or processions in the temple are to take place so as to give rise to similar troubles and difficulties in future.

I have etc

lch
5.1.16
The Secretary
Mysore Dept.

List of enclosures.

MD
5/1/16.

Copy forwarded to Dy Comr for information and necessary issue of instructions

By order

Secy
Mysore Dept

MD
5/1/16.

MP
8.1.16

Copied by

Examined by

W D S G—G P B—60,000—15 11 16

30.



W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19 5-16—S.

1918-19

From 1v435

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated **29th July 1918.**

Despatched 29th July 1918,

Received

General No. Ref. to his No. 788 of 29th inst.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report re the procession of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple at Chamarajanagar.

1 Gurumurtnachari
2 Devargudda Mada Naika
3 Hobjidar Madanaika
4 Halyi Rachanaika
5 Belaji Naika
6 Santachari
7 Nali Mada.

No. 30

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 27th July 1918.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1087/ M/ 208-17-1 dated 17th January 1918 forwarding a petition from [illegible] I have the honor to state that no further report was received by me in the above matter as desired in your letter under reply because instructions have been already issued to the Amildar through the Sub Division Officer.

I may add that the enquiry referred to in the petition showed that 7 persons appeared to have been at fault and they were therefore proceeded against by the Police in the Court of the 1st Class Magistrate, Nanjangud Sub Division under Section 107 of Criminal Procedure Code.

I learn that one of the accused died and that the case against the other accused ended in discharge.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

G.R.S. 28]

17 Cipher / 23/12/17

Issue of instructions to the Taluk Amildars in the matter of conduct of important processions in the more important temples of the State.

File No. 356-17
Serial No. 4.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No.784 of 1916-17 dated 29th July 1918 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting a report in the matter of the stoppage of the procession of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami at Chamarajanagar due to take place on the 4th May 1917.

Date of issue 27-12-1917

Signed by Sir. G. Krishna Murthy Iyengar.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

---

over

SUBJECT.

3V

Order thereon: No. 1633-42/Abcrs, 356-17-4.
dated 27.12.1918

On a careful consideration of all the facts connected with the case, ~~the~~ Government are constrained to observe that the handling of the question by the local authorities ~~do not~~ reflects ~~much~~ little credit upon their powers of judgment or management. ~~Practically~~ No precautionary measures appear to have been adopted and the Amildar was ~~also~~ absent from ~~the~~ Head Quarters in spite of the fact that ~~an~~ an important procession ~~in~~ connected with such a leading institution was to be celebrated, when all the costly jewels of the temple are usually taken out to adorn the Vigrahas to be carried in procession.

2. ~~The~~ Government are of opinion that ~~as a general rule, it must be understood that~~ in all ~~the~~ cases of ~~all~~ important processions connected with Major institutions, the Amildar or, in his unavoidable absence, the Taluk Sheristadar ~~invariably~~ should be present on the spot and have the occasion conducted under his personal

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud

i Chaluvarayaswamy temple at Melkote.

i Chamarajeswaraswamy temple at Chamarajanagar.

Sri Ranganathaswamy at Seringapatam.

Sri Gangadhareswara Swamy at Seringapatam.

Gumbuz Urus processions.

31.8.18.

supervision. In view, however, of the special importance of the marginally noted institutions, the Government consider that either the Amildar or, in his absence, the Sub Division Officer should be present on such occasions, and whenever the Amildar cannot himself be present he should give timely intimation to the Sub Division Officer of the circumstances preventing his presence on the spot so that the latter may make due arrangements for his presence and conduct of procession under his personal supervision.

31.8.18

Joint Secretary to Govt.
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
" Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
" Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
" Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore

n/v 1643. dated 27-12-1918

Copy to Huzur Secretary to H.H.The Maharaja.

GPB—20,000—7-7-14